國立中興大學獸醫學院教師升等暨改聘評審表

115 年 9 月 2 日院務會議修訂通過

姓名：　　擬升等/改聘職級：**教授**

系所：　　擬升等/改聘日期：　　年　　月　　日

基本資料	到校日期	年　月	人事室簽章	
	任現職日期	年　月		
	教師證書字號	年　月　字第　號		
	審定起資日期	年　月		
	年資	年　月		
代表著作				

項目		系所教評會/院評審小組評審分數		院評審小組小計	院教評會評審分數		合計
教學（30 分）	1.任教課程（10 分）	系所教評會評分			±5 分內評分		
	2.教學貢獻度（3 分）						
	3.教材教案 （10 分）	院評審小組評分					
	4.參與核心課程（2 分）	系所教評會評分					
	5.教學評量與改進措施（5 分）						
研究（50 分）	代表著作水準、宣讀表達及應對（20 分）				最低 10 分 最高 20 分		
	參考著作（30 分）	院評審小組評分			±3 分內評分		
服務與合作（20 分）	1.參與服務（5 分）	系所教評會評分			±3 分內評分		
	2.計畫成效（6 分）						
	3.輔導學生（5 分）						
	4.社會責任實踐成果（2 分）						
	5.校外服務（2 分）						
總計							

備註：

1.學、經歷欄所填資料塗改無效。

單位主管核章：

2.單位主管及人事室簽章請勿蓋在文字或數目字上。

3.評審委員請依照加減上下限分數評分。

4.未評分者，視為同意系所教評會評分或該項以及格分數(70%)計算。

5.70 分以上為及格；評定不及格者或 90 分以上者，須勾選優缺點(請見背頁)或敘明具體理由。

6.三分之二以上評審委員評定及格者為通過。

優　　點	缺　　點
□教學表現優良 □研究表現傑出 □服務表現優良 □其他具體優點(請敘明)：	□教學表現不佳 □研究表現差 □服務表現不佳 □其他具體缺點(請敘明)：

國立中興大學獸醫學院教師升等暨改聘評審表

115 年 9 月 2 日院務會議修訂通過

姓名：　　擬升等/改聘職級：☐ **副教授**　☐ **助理教授**

系所：　　擬升等/改聘日期：　　年　　月　　日

基本資料	到校日期	年　月	人事室簽章	
	任現職日期	年　月		
	教師證書字號	年　月　字第　號		
	審定起資日期	年　月		
	年資	年　月		
代表著作				

項目		系所教評會/院評審小組評審分數		院評審小組小計	院教評會評審分數		合計
教學 **(30 分)**	1.任教課程 (10 分)	系所教評會評分			±5 分內評分		
	2.教學貢獻度 (3 分)						
	3.教材教案 (10 分)	院評審小組評分					
	4.參與核心課程 (2 分)	系所教評會評分					
	5.教學評量與改進措施 (5 分)						
研究 **(45 分)**	代表著作水準、宣讀表達及應對 (20 分)				最低 10 分 最高 20 分		
	參考著作 (25 分)	院評審小組評分			±3 分內評分		
服務與合作 **(25 分)**	1.參與服務 (9 分)	系所教評會評分			±4 分內評分		
	2.計畫成效 (7 分)						
	3.輔導學生 (5 分)						
	4.社會責任實踐成果 (2 分)						
	5.校外服務 (2 分)						
總計							

備註：

1.學、經歷欄所填資料塗改無效。

單位主管核章：

2.單位主管及人事室簽章請勿蓋在文字或數目字上。

3.評審委員請依照加減上下限分數評分。

4.未評分者，視為同意系所教評會評分或該項以及格分數(70%)計算。

5.70 分以上為及格；評定不及格者或 90 分以上者，須勾選優缺點(請見背頁)或敘明具體理由。

6.三分之二以上評審委員評定及格者為通過。

優　　點	缺　　點
□教學表現優良 □研究表現傑出 □服務表現優良 □其他具體優點(請敘明)：	□教學表現不佳 □研究表現差 □服務表現不佳 □其他具體缺點(請敘明)：

國立中興大學獸醫學院教師升等暨改聘評審表(臨床教師適用)

115 年 9 月 2 日院務會議修訂通過

姓名：　　擬升等/改聘職級：**教授**

系所：　　擬升等/改聘日期：　　年　　月　　日

基本資料	到校日期	年　月	人事室簽章	
	任現職日期	年　月		
	教師證書字號	年　月　字第　號		
	審定起資日期	年　月		
	年資	年　月		
代表著作				

項目		系所教評會/院評審小組評審分數		院評審小組小計	院教評會評審分數		合計
教學 **(30 分)**	1.任教課程（10 分）	系所教評會評分			±5 分內評分		
	2.教學貢獻度（3 分）						
	3.教材教案 （10 分）	院評審小組評分					
	4.參與核心課程（2 分）	系所教評會評分					
	5.教學評量與改進措施（5 分）						
研究 **(40 分)**	代表著作水準、宣讀表達及應對（20 分）				最低 10 分 最高 20 分		
	參考著作（20 分）	院評審小組評分			±2 分內評分		
服務與合作 **(30 分)**	1.參與服務（5 分）	系所教評會評分			±5 分內評分		
	2.計畫成效（16 分）						
	3.輔導學生（5 分）						
	4.社會責任實踐成果（2 分）						
	5.校外服務（2 分）						
總計							

備註：

1.學、經歷欄所填資料塗改無效。

單位主管核章：

2.單位主管及人事室簽章請勿蓋在文字或數目字上。

3.評審委員請依照加減上下限分數評分。

4.未評分者，視為同意系所教評會評分或該項以及格分數(70%)計算。

5.70 分以上為及格；評定不及格者或 90 分以上者，須勾選優缺點(請見背頁)或敘明具體理由。

6.三分之二~~(含)~~以上評審委員評定及格者為通過。

優　　點	缺　　點
□教學表現優良 □研究表現傑出 □服務表現優良 □其他具體優點(請敘明)：	□教學表現不佳 □研究表現差 □服務表現不佳 □其他具體缺點(請敘明)：

國立中興大學獸醫學院教師升等暨改聘評審表(臨床教師適用)

115 年 9 月 2 日院務會議修訂通過

姓名：　　擬升等/改聘職級：☐ **副教授**　☐ **助理教授**

系所：　　擬升等/改聘日期：　　年　　月　　日

基本資料	到校日期	年　月	人事室簽章	
	任現職日期	年　月		
	教師證書字號	年　月　字第　號		
	審定起資日期	年　月		
	年資	年　月		
代表著作				

項目		系所教評會/院評審小組評審分數		院評審小組小計	院教評會評審分數		合計
教學 **(30 分)**	1.任教課程（10 分）	系所教評會評分			±5 分內評分		
	2.教學貢獻度（3 分）						
	3.教材教案 （10 分）	院評審小組評分					
	4.參與核心課程（2 分）	系所教評會評分					
	5.教學評量與改進措施（5 分）						
研究 **(35 分)**	代表著作水準、宣讀表達及應對（20 分）				最低 10 分 最高 20 分		
	參考著作（15 分）	院評審小組評分			±2 分內評分		
服務與合作 **(35 分)**	1.參與服務（9 分）	系所教評會評分			±5 分內評分		
	2.計畫成效（17 分）						
	3.輔導學生（5 分）						
	4.社會責任實踐成果（2 分）						
	5.校外服務（2 分）						
總計							

備註：

1.學、經歷欄所填資料塗改無效。

單位主管核章：

2.單位主管及人事室簽章請勿蓋在文字或數目字上。

3.評審委員請依照加減上下限分數評分。

4.未評分者，視為同意系所教評會評分或該項以及格分數(70%)計算。

5.70 分以上為及格；評定不及格者或 90 分以上者，須勾選優缺點(請見背頁)或敘明具體理由。

6.三分之二以上評審委員評定及格者為通過。

優　　點	缺　　點
□教學表現優良 □研究表現傑出 □服務表現優良 □其他具體優點(請敘明)：	□教學表現不佳 □研究表現差 □服務表現不佳 □其他具體缺點(請敘明)：

英文譯本僅供參考，文義如與中文版有歧異，概以中文版為準。 The English version is provided for reference only. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE
Faculty Promotion and Appointment Change Evaluation Form

Revised and approved by College Affairs Meeting on Sep. 02, 2026

Name: Rank for Promotion/Appointment Change: Professor

Unit: Date of Promotion/Appointment Change:

Years of Service	Commencement Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)	Personnel Office Signature	
	Appointment Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Teacher Certification Number	/ / (YYYY/MM) REG.NO:		
	Approval Start Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Years of Service	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
Representative Work				

Items		Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee / College Evaluation Panel Score		College Evaluation Panel Subtotal	College Faculty Evaluation Committee Score	Total
Teaching **(30 points)**	1. Teaching Courses (10 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score			Adjustment within ±5 points	
	2.Teaching Contribution (3 points)					
	3.Teaching Materials and Lesson Plans (10 points)	College Evaluation Panel Score				
	4.Core Courses Participation (2 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score				
	5.Teaching Assessment and Improvement Measures (5 points)					

<table>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Research
(50 points)</td><td>Quality of the Representative Work, Presentation and Response (20 points)</td><td colspan="3" rowspan="2"></td><td>Minimum 10 points, Maximum 20 points</td><td></td><td rowspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>Reference Works
(30 points)</td><td colspan="2">College Evaluation Panel Score</td><td>Adjustment within ±3 points</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="5">Service & cooperation
(20 points)</td><td>1.Service Participation
(5 points)</td><td rowspan="5">Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score</td><td></td><td rowspan="5"></td><td colspan="2" rowspan="5">Adjustment within ±3 points</td><td rowspan="5"></td></tr>
<tr><td>2.Project Outcomes
(6 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>3.Student Mentorship
(5 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>4.Social Responsibility Outcomes (2 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>5. External Service
(2 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Total</td><td colspan="3"></td></tr>
</table>

Head of Unit：

Notes:

1. Any alterations in the education and work experience section will be considered invalid.
2. The signatures of unit head and personnel office should not be placed over text or numbers.
3. Please score within the specified adjustment limits.
4. If no score is provided, it will be considered as agreeing with the Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee's score or calculated based on the passing score (70%).
5. A score over 70 is considered passing. For those who are graded as failing or score above 90, please check the strengths and weaknesses (see reverse side) or provide specific reasons:
   _____
   _____
6. Two-thirds or more of the committee members must consider the candidate as passing for the result to be approved.

Strengths	Weaknesses
☐Excellent Teaching Performance ☐Outstanding Research Performance ☐Excellent Service Performance ☐Other Specific Strengths (Please Specify):	☐Unsatisfactory Teaching Performance ☐Unsatisfactory Research Performance ☐Unsatisfactory Service Performance ☐Other Specific Weaknesses (Please Specify):

英文譯本僅供參考，文義如與中文版有歧異，概以中文版為準。 The English version is provided for reference only. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE
Faculty Promotion and Appointment Change Evaluation Form

Revised and approved by College Affairs Meeting on Sep. 02, 2026

Name: Rank for Promotion/Appointment Change:

☐Associate Professor ☐Assistant Professor

Unit: Date of Promotion/Appointment Change:

Years of Service	Commencement Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)	Personnel Office Signature	
	Appointment Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Teacher Certification Number	/ / (YYYY/MM) REG.NO:		
	Approval Start Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Years of Service	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
Representative Work				

Items		Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee / College Evaluation Panel Score		College Evaluation Panel Subtotal	College Faculty Evaluation Committee Score	Total
Teaching **(30 points)**	1. Teaching Courses (10 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score			Adjustment within ±5 points	
	2.Teaching Contribution (3 points)					
	3.Teaching Materials and Lesson Plans (10 points)	College Evaluation Panel Score				
	4.Core Courses Participation (2 points)	Department /Institute Faculty				

	5.Teaching Assessment and Improvement Measures (5 points)	Evaluation Committee Score				
Research **(45 points)**	Quality of the Representative Work, Presentation and Response (20 points)				Minimum 10 points, Maximum 20 points	
	Reference Works (25 points)	College Evaluation Panel Score			Adjustment within ±3 points	
Service & cooperation **(25 points)**	1.Service Participation (9 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score			Adjustment within ±4 points	
	2.Project Outcomes (7 points)					
	3.Student Mentorship (5 points)					
	4.Social Responsibility Outcomes (2 points)					
	5. External Service (2 points)					
Total						

Head of Unit：

Notes:

1. Any alterations in the education and work experience section will be considered invalid.
2. The signatures of head unit and personnel office should not be placed over text or numbers.
3. Please score within the specified adjustment limits.
4. If no score is provided, it will be considered as agreeing with the Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee's score or calculated based on the passing score (70%).
5. A score over 70 is considered passing. For those who are graded as failing or score above 90, please check the strengths and weaknesses (see reverse side) or provide specific reasons:

   _____

   _____
6. Two-thirds or more of the committee members must consider the candidate as passing for the result to be approved.

Strengths	Weaknesses
☐Excellent Teaching Performance ☐Outstanding Research Performance ☐Excellent Service Performance ☐Other Specific Strengths (Please Specify):	☐Unsatisfactory Teaching Performance ☐Unsatisfactory Research Performance ☐Unsatisfactory Service Performance ☐Other Specific Weaknesses (Please Specify):

英文譯本僅供參考，文義如與中文版有歧異，概以中文版為準。 The English version is provided for reference only. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE
Faculty Promotion and Appointment Change Evaluation Form
(For Clinical Faculty)

Revised and approved by College Affairs Meeting on Sep. 02, 2026

Name: Rank for Promotion/Appointment Change: Professor

Unit: Date of Promotion/Appointment Change:

Years of Service	Commencement Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)	Personnel Office Signature	
	Appointment Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Teacher Certification Number	/ / (YYYY/MM) REG.NO:		
	Approval Start Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Years of Service	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
Representative Work				

Items		Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee / College Evaluation Panel Score		College Evaluation Panel Subtotal	College Faculty Evaluation Committee Score	Total
Teaching **(30 points)**	1. Teaching Courses (10 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score			Adjustment within ±5 points	
	2.Teaching Contribution (3 points)					
	3.Teaching Materials and Lesson Plans (10 points)	College Evaluation Panel Score				
	4.Core Courses Participation (2 points)	Department /Institute Faculty				

	5.Teaching Assessment and Improvement Measures (5 points)	Evaluation Committee Score				
Research **(40 points)**	Quality of the Representative Work, Presentation and Response (20 points)				Minimum 10 points, Maximum 20 points	
	Reference Works (20 points)	College Evaluation Panel Score			Adjustment within ±2 points	
Service & cooperation **(30 points)**	1.Service Participation (5 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score			Adjustment within ±5 points	
	2.Project Outcomes (16 points)					
	3.Student Mentorship (5 points)					
	4.Social Responsibility Outcomes (2 points)					
	5. External Service (2 points)					
Total						

Head of Unit：

Notes:

1. Any alterations in the education and work experience section will be considered invalid.
2. The signatures of unit head and personnel office should not be placed over text or numbers.
3. Please score within the specified adjustment limits.
4. If no score is provided, it will be considered as agreeing with the Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee's score or calculated based on the passing score (70%).
5. A score over 70 is considered passing. For those who are graded as failing or score above 90, please check the strengths and weaknesses (see reverse side) or provide specific reasons:
   _____
   _____
6. Two-thirds or more of the committee members must consider the candidate as passing for the result to be approved.

Strengths	Weaknesses
☐Excellent Teaching Performance ☐Outstanding Research Performance ☐Excellent Service Performance ☐Other Specific Strengths (Please Specify):	☐Unsatisfactory Teaching Performance ☐Unsatisfactory Research Performance ☐Unsatisfactory Service Performance ☐Other Specific Weaknesses (Please Specify):

英文譯本僅供參考，文義如與中文版有歧異，概以中文版為準。 The English version is provided for reference only. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

NATIONAL CHUNG HSING UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE
Faculty Promotion and Appointment Change Evaluation Form
(For Clinical Faculty)

Revised and approved by College Affairs Meeting on Sep. 02, 2026

Name: Rank for Promotion/Appointment Change:

☐Associate Professor ☐Assistant Professor

Unit: Date of Promotion/Appointment Change:

Years of Service	Commencement Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)	Personnel Office Signature	
	Appointment Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Teacher Certification Number	/ / (YYYY/MM) REG.NO:		
	Approval Start Date	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
	Years of Service	/ / (YYYY/MM)		
Representative Work				

Items		Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee / College Evaluation Panel Score		College Evaluation Panel Subtotal	College Faculty Evaluation Committee Score	Total
Teaching **(30 points)**	1. Teaching Courses (10 points)	Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score			Adjustment within ±5 points	
	2.Teaching Contribution (3 points)					
	3.Teaching Materials and Lesson Plans (10 points)	College Evaluation Panel Score				
	4.Core Courses Participation (2 points)	Department /Institute Faculty				

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>5.Teaching Assessment and Improvement Measures (5 points)</td><td colspan="2">Evaluation Committee Score</td><td></td><td colspan="2"></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Research
(35 points)</td><td>Quality of the Representative Work, Presentation and Response (20 points)</td><td colspan="3"></td><td colspan="2">Minimum 10 points, Maximum 20 points</td><td rowspan="2"></td></tr>
<tr><td>Reference Works (15 points)</td><td colspan="2">College Evaluation Panel Score</td><td></td><td colspan="2">Adjustment within ±2 points</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="5">Service & cooperation
(35 points)</td><td>1.Service Participation (9 points)</td><td rowspan="5">Department /Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee Score</td><td></td><td rowspan="5"></td><td colspan="2" rowspan="5">Adjustment within ±5 points</td><td rowspan="5"></td></tr>
<tr><td>2.Project Outcomes (17 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>3.Student Mentorship (5 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>4.Social Responsibility Outcomes (2 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>5. External Service (2 points)</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Total</td><td colspan="3"></td></tr>
</table>

Head of Unit：

Notes:

1. Any alterations in the education and work experience section will be considered invalid.
2. The signatures of head unit and personnel office should not be placed over text or numbers.
3. Please score within the specified adjustment limits.
4. If no score is provided, it will be considered as agreeing with the Department/Institute Faculty Evaluation Committee's score or calculated based on the passing score (70%).
5. A score over 70 is considered passing. For those who are graded as failing or score above 90, please check the strengths and weaknesses (see reverse side) or provide specific reasons:

   _____

   _____
6. Two-thirds or more of the committee members must consider the candidate as passing for the result to be approved.

Strengths	Weaknesses
☐Excellent Teaching Performance	☐Unsatisfactory Teaching Performance
☐Outstanding Research Performance	☐Unsatisfactory Research Performance
☐Excellent Service Performance	☐Unsatisfactory Service Performance
☐Other Specific Strengths (Please Specify):	☐Other Specific Weaknesses (Please Specify):